

3.8 AGRICULTURE (443)

In the year 2019, K.C.S.E Agriculture Examination consisted of three papers; Paper 1, Paper 2 and Paper 3. The three papers tested the candidates' competence in understanding the agricultural principles, concepts and practices as stipulated in the syllabus. A wide range of knowledge and skills was tested in order to bring out the different abilities of the candidates. The format of the three papers is as follows:

- **Paper 1 (443/1):** This is a theory paper that covers General Agriculture, Crop Production, Agriculture Economics, Soil and Water Conservation. It has three sections: **A, B** and **C**, which are marked out of **30, 20** and **40** marks respectively.
- **Paper 2 (443/2):** It is also a theory paper but covers Livestock Production, Farm Power, Farm Machinery, Farm Structures and Farm Tools and Equipment. It has three sections, **A, B** and **C**, which are also marked out of **30, 20** and **40** marks respectively.
- **Paper 3 (443/3):** This is a project paper with two project questions, **Project A** and **B**. In 2019, one of the projects required candidates to grow **finger millet** while the second one was on rearing of **Chicken**. Candidates selected and carried out only one of the two projects. The project paper is scored out of 100 marks.

3.8.1 CANDIDATES' OVERALL PERFORMANCE

The table below shows the general performance of candidates in the year 2019 KCSE Agriculture Examination. Performance in the previous five years has been included for comparison.

Table 15: Candidates overall performance in Agriculture for the last five years

Year	Paper	Candidature	Maximum Mark	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
2019	1		90	31.09	15.39
	2		90	27.27	12.68
	3		20	6.46	2.34
	Overall	289,315	200	64.82	28.83
2018	1		90	20.81	11.78
	2		90	31.58	15.20
	3		20	4.24	1.88
	Overall	278,658	200	60.57	27.36
2017	1		90	26.21	13.86
	2		90	23.28	12.25
	3		20	5.41	2.31
	Overall	247,265	200	54.75	26.82

Year	Paper	Candidature	Maximum Mark	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
2016	1		90	26.21	14.28
	2		90	28.88	15.77
	3		20	5.66	2.34
	Overall	228,443	200	61.75	30.83
2015	1		90	43.91	17.19
	2		90	36.45	17.19
	3		20	9.29	3.02
	Overall	206,127	200	89.61	34.62

The following observations can be made from the summary in the table:

- (i) Candidates' performance in Agriculture improved. This is shown by the increase in the overall mean score from **60.57** in 2018 to **64.82** in 2019. **Paper 1 (443/1)** mean score improved from **20.81** in 2018 to **31.09** in 2019. However, the mean score for **Paper 2 (443/2)** dropped from **31.58** in 2018 to **27.27** in 2019.
- (ii) The overall standard deviation improved from **27.36** in 2018 to **28.83** in 2019. The value of the standard deviation indicates that the papers adequately discriminated candidates of different abilities.
- (iii) The candidature increased from **278,658** in 2018 to **289,315** in 2019. A similar trend was also observed in the years 2018, 2017, 2016 and 2015. This is a likely indication of increasing popularity of the subject in schools.

(i) ANALYSIS OF POORLY PERFORMED QUESTIONS

Below is an analysis of the items that posed some challenge to the candidates. This report highlights the questions and gives the expected responses. It also offers a general advice to teachers on the possible methodologies to emphasise during instruction.

3.8.2 Agriculture Paper 1 (443/1)

No question was reported by the Chief Examiner to have been difficult. However, candidates had challenges in handling the following questions:

Question 4

State **two** factors that determine national income.

(1 mark)

Expectation

This question required candidates to state two factors that determine national income.

Weaknesses

Most of the candidates were not able to state the factors that determine national Income. The candidates were expected to derive these factors from the firm-household relationships.

Advice to teachers

Learners should be engaged on tasks that require application of knowledge.

Expected responses

- Per capita income
- Gross domestic product
- Gross national product
- Natural resource endowment

Question 12

Name **two** varieties of sorghum grown in Kenya.

(1 mark)

Expectation

The candidates were required to name two varieties of sorghum.

Weaknesses

Most of the candidates were not able to name the varieties of sorghum.

Advice to teachers


The learners should be guided on identification of crop varieties.

Expected responses

- Serena;
- Dobbs;
- Intama;
- Humid;
- Lulu;
-
-

Question 23 (b)


The diagrams below illustrate soil structures.




M



N



P



Q

Name the type of soil where each of the structures labelled N and Q is found.

N (1 mark)

Q (1 mark)

Expectation

The candidates were required to name the type of soil associated with each of the soil structures labelled N and Q.

Weaknesses

Most of the candidates were not able to name the types of soil associated with the soil structures.

Advice to teachers

The teachers should emphasize the type of soil associated with each of the soil structures.

Expected responses

N – Clay soil


Q – Sandy soil

3.8.3 Agriculture Paper 2 (443/2)

No question was reported by the Chief Examiner to have been difficult. However, the following questions were a challenge to some candidates.

Question 17 (a) and (b)

The diagram below shows the reproductive system of a hen.



State one function of each of the parts labelled

G
J

(1 mark)

(1 mark)

Expectation

The candidates were required to name and give the function of the labelled parts of the reproductive system of a hen.

Weaknesses

Most of the candidates were unable to identify and give the function of the labelled parts.

Advice to teachers

Emphasize the structure and function of the reproductive system of a hen.

Expected responses

- G – Site of fertilization;
- Addition of chalazae;
- Stores sperms
- Receives the ovum from the ovary

- J – Addition of thick albumen;
- Addition of water and mineral salts;

Question 22 (b)

Describe how chicken is dressed for sale.

(10 marks)

Expectation

The candidates were required to describe how chicken is dressed for sale

Weaknesses

Most of the candidates were unable to describe how chicken is dressed for sale. Many candidates did not understand the meaning of chicken dressing.

Advice to teachers

Practically guide learners on how to dress chicken for sale.

Expected responses

- lay the bird on its breast on the table;
- Pull and cut off the skin of the neck at the point where the neck enters the body;
- Cut the neck from the head at the point of the cut/dislocation;
- Turn the carcass on its back;
- Grasp and gently pull out this crop;
- Insert the index finger as far as possible into the body cavity through the opening left after removing the neck and crop;
- Work around the finger close to this body to loosen the organs from the ribs and the back;
- Turn the bird and hold the abdomen with the left hand;
- Make a horizontal cut between the vent and the keel bone to expose the intestines;
- Insert a finger into the abdomen through the cut and loop around the vent to close the intestines;
- Hold the intestines away from the vent and then cut around with the bowels still attached;
- Insert the right hand into the abdominal, opening gently grab the gizzard and pull it out accompanied with other internal organs;
- Cut the skin around the shank to remove the sinus just below the hocks and pull them out strongly from the body;
- Pack this carcass in a polythene bag for sale;

3.8.4 PAPER 3 (443/3 –PROJECT)

The agriculture project paper was administered to provide an opportunity for the candidates to show and put into practice, the psychomotor skills acquired during the four years' period in secondary school.

Candidates are tested in practical skills in the growing of a selected crop from land preparation to harvesting, rearing selected livestock to maturity or constructing a farm structure such as beehive, feed trough, rabbit hutch, compost pit/heap, among others.

The instructions are taken to schools, which then provide the required inputs for candidates to carry out the project work independently. The project takes eight months, from February to September of the given year.

In the year 2018, candidates chose between bean production and making of compost manure. The agriculture teacher's duty was to objectively assess and evaluate each candidate's work at all the stages of project implementation. **The assessment by the teacher should be based on the class such that there is an even distribution of scores from the lowest, average and finally to the highest performers.**

3.8.5 GENERAL ADVICE TO TEACHERS

- (i) The whole syllabus should be effectively covered during instruction because examination items will be sampled from the entire syllabus. A topic should not be ignored because it was recently or is never tested. All the topics are tested.
- (ii) The teacher/school should acquire the relevant reference materials and assist candidates to obtain and use the recommended textbooks. The approved books are found in the orange book published by the Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development.
- (iii) The use of textbooks by teachers should always be guided by the syllabus. The specific objectives stipulated in the syllabus should be correctly interpreted to ensure the topics in question are taught at the appropriate breath and depth.
- (iv) A variety of teaching methods and resources should be utilised by teachers to ensure that the content is effectively delivered during instruction. Resource persons/guest speakers and field visits should be arranged and used in areas where the teacher and the school lack the resources to teach the topic/lesson effectively. Agriculture is a science and should be treated accordingly during instruction. The teaching and learning process should go beyond the mere statement of facts. The candidates should be able to explain and apply the knowledge acquired during instruction. Many candidates had problems in answering questions of high cognitive demand.
- (v) All the suggested practical activities in the syllabus should be carried out to prepare candidates adequately for questions that require application of psychomotor skills acquired during instruction.